

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,383	02/06/2004	Salman Akram	MI22-2469	6354
21567 7590 11/14/2007 WELLS ST. JOHN P.S.			EXAMINER	
601 W. FIRST	AVENUE, SUITE 1300		PERT, EVAN T	
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/773,383	AKRAM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Evan Pert	2826			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	<u>igust 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 32,33,35-43,53-60,62,65-73 and 75-8 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 85,86 and 89 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.	n.			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	·			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
,	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of 101111 10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

10/773,383 Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claim 85 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by either US 5,746,513 (Renken) or US 5,969,639 (Lauf et al.).

Both references disclose providing an electronic device workpiece (i.e. a temperature measuring wafer substrate) with thermocouple (i.e. temperature sensing device) formed in a cavity of the electronic device, wherein the thermocouple is configured to sense temperature of the electronic device workpiece [e.g. Fig. 3B of the '513 reference or Fig. 4 of the e'639 reference].

3. Claim 89 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,051,453 (Takemura).

The '453 reference discloses supporting a temperature sensing device with a wafer (i.e. built-in thermocouple per col. 6, line 25), exposing the wafer and temperature sensing device to process conditions to form an electronic device (i.e. transistors using IR), sensing the temperature of the IR during exposing (i.e. using the built-in thermocouple), and during the exposing to IR heating, forming transistors.

Application/Control Number:

10/773,383

Art Unit: 2826

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 86 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,969,639 (Lauf et al.).

The '639 reference is silent about how to form the cavities that have straight walls, such as the vertical-walled cavity in Fig. 5. Yet, it was notoriously well known in the art at the time of the claimed invention that of the two kinds of etching available (i.e. isotropic and anisotropic), only anisotropic can form the vertical wall cavity in Fig. 5 of Lauf et al. reference.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use anisotropic etching, motivated to form a cavity with vertical walls, such as in Fig. 5 of the Lauf et al. reference.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 32-33, 35-43, 53-60, 62, 65-73, 75-84 and 87-88 are allowed.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

10/773,383

Art Unit: 2826

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan Pert whose telephone number is 571-272-1969. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM-3:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sue Purvis can be reached on 571-272-1236. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/773,383

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ETP October 29, 2007

EVAN PERT
PRIMARY EXAMINER